#### SENSITIVITY OF NEXT-100 TO NEUTRINOLESS DOUBLE BETA DECAY

Justo Martín-Albo, Javier Muñoz IFIC (CSIC & Univ. de Valencia)

NEXT COLLABORATION MEETING — LSC, MAY 2013

#### Sensitivity of NEXT-100 to neutrinoless double beta decay

#### The NEXT Collaboration

V. Álvarez,<sup>a</sup> F.I.G. Borges,<sup>b</sup> S. Cárcel,<sup>a</sup> J. Castel,<sup>c</sup> S. Eubrin, C. Cervera,<sup>a</sup>
C.A.N. Conde<sup>b</sup> T. Dafni,<sup>c</sup> T.H.V.T. Dias,<sup>b</sup> J. Díaz,<sup>a</sup> M. Borov,<sup>d</sup> R. Esteve,<sup>e</sup>
P. Evtoukhovitch,<sup>f</sup> L.M.P. Fernandes,<sup>b</sup> P. Ferrario,<sup>a</sup> A.L. Ferreira<sup>g</sup> E.D.C. Freitas<sup>b</sup>
V.M. Gehman,<sup>d</sup> A. Gil,<sup>a</sup> A. Goldschmid,<sup>a</sup> H. Gómez,<sup>c</sup> J.J. Gómez-Cadenas,<sup>a,1</sup>
D. González-Díaz,<sup>c</sup> R.M. Gutiérrez, A. Hauptman,<sup>i</sup> J.A. Hernando Morata,<sup>j</sup>
D.C. Herrera,<sup>c</sup> F.J. Iguaz,<sup>c</sup> I.G. hasto za,<sup>c</sup> M.A. Jinete,<sup>h</sup> L. Labarga,<sup>k</sup> A. Laing,<sup>a</sup>
I. Liubarsky,<sup>a</sup> J.A.M. Lopes,<sup>b</sup> O. Lorca,<sup>a</sup> M. Losada,<sup>h</sup> G. Luzón,<sup>c</sup> A. Marí,<sup>e</sup>
J. Martín-Albo,<sup>a,2</sup> A. Marúnez,<sup>a</sup> T. Miller,<sup>d</sup> A. Moiseenko,<sup>f</sup> F. Monrabal,<sup>a</sup>
C.M.B. Monteiro,<sup>b</sup> F. Mora,<sup>e</sup> L.M. Moutinho,<sup>g</sup> J. Muñoz Vidal,<sup>a,2</sup> H. Natal da Luz,<sup>b</sup>
G. Navarro,<sup>h</sup> M. Nebot-Guinot,<sup>a</sup> D. Nygren,<sup>d</sup> C.A.B. Oliveira,<sup>d</sup> R. Palma,<sup>l</sup> J. Pérez,<sup>m</sup>
J.L. Pérez Aparicio,<sup>l</sup> J. Renner,<sup>d</sup> L. Ripoll,<sup>n</sup> A. Rodríguez,<sup>c</sup> J. Rodríguez,<sup>a</sup>
F.P. Santos,<sup>b</sup> J.M.F. dos Santos,<sup>b</sup> L. Seguí,<sup>c</sup> L. Serra,<sup>a</sup> D. Shuman,<sup>d</sup> A. Simón,<sup>a</sup>
C. Sofka,<sup>o</sup> M. Sorel,<sup>a</sup> J.F. Toledo,<sup>d</sup> A. Tomás,<sup>c</sup> J. Torrent,<sup>n</sup> Z. Tsamalaidze,<sup>f</sup>
J.F.C.A. Veloso,<sup>g</sup> J.A. Villar,<sup>c</sup> R. Webb,<sup>o</sup> J.T. White,<sup>o</sup> N. Yahlali<sup>a</sup>

 <sup>a</sup> Instituto de Física Corpuscular (IFIC), CSIC & Universitat de València Calle Catedrático José Beltrán, 2, 46980 Paterna, Valencia, Spain
 <sup>b</sup> Departamento de Fisica, Universidade de Coimbra Bua Larga 2001 516 Coimbra Portugal

#### **EXPERIMENTAL SENSITIVITY**

$$\widehat{S}(m_{\beta\beta}) \propto \sqrt{1/\varepsilon} \left(\frac{b \ \Delta E}{M \ t}\right)^{1/4}$$

We define the sensitivity as the smallest (average) signal greater than or equal to a background fluctuation of a chosen significance level. Compute that upper limit using Feldman-Cousins, as described in arXiv:1010.5112.

#### **ENERGY RESOLUTION**



NEXT-DBDM: ~0.5% FWHM

NEXT-DEMO: ~0.8% FWHM

NEXT-100: 0.5–1.0% FWHM @ Q value of Xe-136

## **EFFICIENCY & BACKGROUND RATE**

- 1. Simulate signal events uniformly distributed in the xenon gas.
- 2. Simulate background events from all detector elements. For a given material, probability of generation proportional to the mass of the volume.
- 3. Analyze events to decide whether they are signal or background. Selection criteria chosen taking into account the figure of merit  $\varepsilon/\sqrt{b} \Delta E$
- Fraction of signal events passing all cuts = efficiency.
   Fraction of background events passing all cuts = rejection factor.
- 5. Multiply rejection factor by total activity of the element to obtain its contribution to the background rate of NEXT-100.

#### THE NEXT-100 DETECTOR...



### ...AND ITS GEANT4 COUNTERPART





Ø

## THE BAD GUYS (1)



Decay of Bi-214 followed by emission of high-energy gammas. In particular, one at 2448 keV very close to Q value.

# THE BAD GUYS (2)



#### Decay of Tl-208 followed by the emission of a gamma of 2615 keV.

#### THE TOPOLOGICAL SIGNATURE



Veto of effectively all charged backgrounds entering the detector (left). High-energy gammas have a long interaction length (>3 m) in HPXe.

#### THE TOPOLOGICAL SIGNATURE



## THE TOPOLOGICAL SIGNATURE



Energy deposition pattern (dE/dx) used to distinguish single electrons from double beta decay events.

## NUMBER OF TRACKS

	1 track	2 tracks	3 tracks	More	
Signal	0.755	0.168	0.058	0.020	
Tl-208	0.042	0.340	0.315	0.303	
Bi-214	0.150	0.323	0.280	0.248	

## ENERGY REGION OF INTEREST





## **SELECTION CUTS**

Selection cut	Fraction of events				
	etaeta 0  u	etaeta 2 u	$^{214}\mathrm{Bi}$	$^{208}\mathrm{Tl}$	
$E \in (2.3, 2.6) \text{ MeV}$	0.776	$3.31 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.52 \times 10^{-4}$	$8.02 \times 10^{-3}$	
Fiducial	0.678	$2.95\times10^{-6}$	$1.13 \times 10^{-4}$	$4.77 \times 10^{-3}$	
Single track	0.508	$2.27\times 10^{-6}$	$1.36 \times 10^{-5}$	$8.44 \times 10^{-4}$	
$\mathrm{d}E/\mathrm{d}x$	0.381	$1.70 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.36 \times 10^{-6}$	$8.10 \times 10^{-5}$	
ROI					
0.5% FWHM	0.311	$3.24 \times 10^{-12}$	$1.23 \times 10^{-7}$	$3.23 \times 10^{-7}$	
1.0% FWHM	0.315	$3.57\times10^{-11}$	$3.69 \times 10^{-7}$	$5.40 \times 10^{-7}$	

#### **RADIOACTIVE BUDGET**

#	Material	Supplier	Technique	Unit	<sup>238</sup> U	<sup>226</sup> <b>Ra</b>	<sup>232</sup> Th	<sup>228</sup> Th	<sup>235</sup> U	<sup>40</sup> <b>K</b>	<sup>60</sup> Co	<sup>137</sup> Cs
	Shielding				in in		it is the second				1 - 1 - <b>1</b>	
1	Pb	Cometa	GDMS	mBq/kg	0.37		0.073			<0.31	de l'and	
2	Pb	Mifer	GDMS	mBq/kg	<1.2		<0.41			0.31		
3	Pb	Mifer	GDMS	mBq/kg	0.33		0.10			1.2		
4	Pb	Tecnibusa	GDMS	mBq/kg	0.73		0.14			0.91		
5	Pb	Tecnibusa	Ge	mBq/kg	<94	<2.0	<3.8	<4.4	<30	<2.8	< 0.2	< 0.8
6	Pb	Tecnibusa	Ge	mBq/kg	<57	<1.9	<1.7	<2.8	<22	<1.7	<0.1	<0.5
7	Cu (ETP)	Sanmetal	GDMS	mBq/kg	< 0.062		< 0.020					
8	Cu (C10100)	Luvata (hot rolled)	GDMS	mBq/kg	< 0.012		< 0.0041			0.061		
9	Cu (C10100)	Luvata (cold rolled)	GDMS	mBq/kg	< 0.012		< 0.0041			0.091		
10	Cu (C10100)	Luvata (hot+cold rolled)	Ge	mBq/kg		<7.4	<0.8	<4.3		<18	<0.8	<1.2
	Vessel							Ne zier		· 23		
11	Ti	SMP	Ge	mBq/kg	<233	<5.7	<8.8	<9.5	3.4±1.0	<22	<3.3	<5.2
12	Ti	SMP	Ge	mBq/kg	<361	<6.6	<11	<10	<8.0	<15	<1.0	<1.8
13	Ti	Ti Metal Supply	Ge	mBq/kg	<14	< 0.22	<0.5	3.6±0.2	$0.43{\pm}0.08$	<0.6	< 0.07	< 0.07
14	304L SS	Pfeiffer	Ge	mBq/kg		$14.3 \pm 2.8$	9.7±2.3	$16.2 \pm 3.9$	3.2±1.1	<17	$11.3 \pm 2.7$	<1.6
15	316Ti SS	Nironit, 10-mm-thick	Ge	mBq/kg	<21	< 0.57	<0.59	<0.54	<0.74	< 0.96	$2.8\pm0.2$	< 0.12
16	316Ti SS	Nironit, 15-mm-thick	Ge	mBq/kg	<25	<0.46	<0.69	<0.88	<0.75	<1.0	$4.4 \pm 0.3$	< 0.17
17	316Ti SS	Nironit, 50-mm-thick	Ge	mBq/kg	67±22	<1.7	$2.1 \pm 0.4$	$2.0\pm0.7$	$2.4{\pm}0.6$	<2.5	4.2±0.3	<0.6
18	Inconel 625	Mecanizados Kanter	Ge	mBq/kg	<120	<1.9	<3.4	<3.2	<4.6	<3.9	<0.4	<0.6
19	Inconel 718	Mecanizados Kanter	Ge	mBq/kg	309±78	<3.4	<5.1	<4.4	15.0±1.9	<13	<1.4	<1.3
	HV, EL components					1. State 1.						
20	PEEK	Sanmetal	Ge	mBq/kg		36.3±4.3	$14.9 \pm 5.3$	$11.0 \pm 2.4$	<7.8	8.3±3.0	<3.3	<2.6
21	Polyethylene	IN2 Plastics	Ge	mBq/kg	<140	<1.9	<3.8	<2.7	<1.0	<8.9	<0.5	<0.5
22	Semitron ES225	Quadrant EPP	Ge	mBq/kg	<101	<2.3	<2.0	<1.8	$1.8 \pm 0.3$	513±52	<0.5	<0.6
23	SMD resistor	Farnell	Ge	mBq/pc	$2.3 \pm 1.0$	0.16±0.03	$0.30 {\pm} 0.06$	$0.30 {\pm} 0.05$	< 0.05	$0.19{\pm}0.08$	< 0.02	< 0.03
24	SM5D resistor	Finechem	Ge	mBq/pc	0.4±0.2	$0.022 \pm 0.007$	7 < 0.023	<0.016	$0.012 \pm 0.003$	50.17±0.07	< 0.005	< 0.005
	Energy, tracking planes											
25	Kapton-Cu PCB	LabCircuits	Ge	mBq/cm <sup>2</sup>	< 0.26	< 0.014	< 0.012	< 0.008	< 0.002	< 0.040	< 0.002	< 0.002
26	Cuflon	Polyflon	Ge	mBq/kg	<33	<1.3	<1.1	<1.1	<0.6	4.8±1.1	<0.3	< 0.3
27	Bonding films	Polyflon	Ge	mBq/kg	$1140 \pm 300$	487±23	79.8±6.6	$66.0 \pm 4.8$	$60.0 \pm 5.5$	$832 \pm 87$	<4.4	<3.8
28	FFC/FCP connector	Hirose	Ge	mBq/pc	<50	4.6±0.7	6.5±1.2	6.4±1.0	< 0.75	3.9±1.4	< 0.2	< 0.5
29	P5K connector	Panasonic	Ge	mBq/pc	<42	6.0±0.9	9.5±1.7	9.4±1.4	< 0.95	4.1±1.5	< 0.2	<0.8

System	Activity [mBq]		Rejectio	n factor	Backg. rate $[10^{-3} \text{ ckky}]$		
	<sup>214</sup> Bi	$^{208}\mathrm{Tl}$	<sup>214</sup> Bi	<sup>208</sup> Tl	<sup>214</sup> Bi	$^{208}\mathrm{Tl}$	
Pressure vessel							
Total	560.5	282.5	$4.00 \times 10^{-10}$	$4.80 \times 10^{-9}$	0.0032	0.0194	
Energy plane				and states from			
R11410-10 PMTs	96.6	121.8	$4.12\!\times\!10^{-8}$	$1.56\!\times\!10^{-7}$	0.0142	0.0145	
Enclosures	25.4	2.5	$2.15\!\times\!10^{-8}$	$1.03 \times 10^{-7}$	0.0008	0.0004	
Shapphire windows	18.6	2.6	$1.09\times 10^{-7}$	$2.77\!\times\!10^{-7}$	0.0103	0.0290	
Support plate	4.1	0.4	$2.11\!\times\!10^{-8}$	$1.32\!\times\!10^{-7}$	0.0008	0.0012	
Total	—			—			
Tracking plane							
Dice boards	30.0	26.8	$1.23 \times 10^{-7}$	$3.23\!\times\!10^{-7}$	0.0527	0.1237	
Total	_	—		—			
Field cage							
Barrel	29.6	6.5	$1.14\!\times\!10^{-7}$	$2.72\!\times\!10^{-7}$	0.0481	0.0252	
Total	_	—		—			
Shielding							
Outer (Pb)							
Inner (Cu)	92.1	9.2	$1.26\times 10^{-8}$	$7.87\!\times\!10^{-8}$	0.0166	0.0139	
Total							

# **BACKGROUND RATE**

System	Bi-214 (10 <sup>-3</sup> ckky)	Tl-208 (10 <sup>-3</sup> ckky)	Total (10 <sup>-3</sup> ckky)
Vessel	<0.01-0.01	0.02-0.03	0.02-0.04
Energy plane	0.05-0.17	0.03-0.28	0.08-0.45
Tracking plane	0.05-0.10	0.12-0.13	0.17-0.23
Inner shielding	0.02-0.03	0.01-0.01	0.03-0.04
Field cage	0.05-0.09	0.03-0.03	0.08-0.12
Total	0.18-0.40	0.21-0.48	0.38-0.88

## THE COMPETITION

Experiment	M (kg)	enrichment (%)	efficiency (%)	resolution (% FWHM)	b (10 <sup>-3</sup> ckky)
EX0-200	110	81	52	3.9	1.5
KamLAND-Zen	330	91	62	9.9	1.0
NEXT-100	100	91	31	0.5-1.0	0.4-0.9



## THE PHYSICS LANDSCAPE



#### SUMMARY

- Detailed background model developed with detector simulation.
- Predicted a signal efficiency of about 31% and a background rate between 0.4–0.9  $\times$  10<sup>-3</sup> cts/keV/kg/yr with standard set of selection cuts.
- Several contributions to the background still to be determined (tracking plane, PMT bases, field cage).