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ABSTRACT

A self-pressurizing hydrodynamic thrust bearing has been designed, fabricated and tested up to speeds of 450,000 rpm on a 4.2 mm diameter MEMS radial inflow turbine. This test device demonstrated the load bearing capability predicted by the macro-scale gas bearing theory derived from the literature. The design of the devices tested was compromised to fit an existing geometry and fabrication sequence. Given more design freedom, these bearings should be capable of operating at several million rpm. Compared to existing hydrostatic thrust bearings, a hydrodynamic approach offers significantly simplified fabrication and elimination of the need for a source of pressurized gas external to the bearing.

CONCEPTS AND DESIGN

This study was constrained by the requirements that the hydrodynamic bearings must fit within the current device geometry and be compatible with the existing fabrication sequence. Also, the hydrodynamic bearings must support the same static and dynamic loads as the hydrostatic bearings and remain stable within the device operating envelop. The rotor in Figure 1 is a planar, radial inflow turbine with a 4.2 mm rotor diameter and 225 µm span airfoils. A 400 µm diameter thrust bearing pad is located at the rotor center on the forward (airfoil) side. This thrust pad rotates relative to a stationary thrust bearing surface of similar diameter. In the hydrostatic thrust bearing, the stationary bearing surface is perforated with a circular array of 10 µm diameter nozzle orifices fed from a plenum which supplies the gas lubricating film between the bearing surfaces. Typically a flow of 10 sccm at 2-5 atm is needed to provide sufficient load capacity and axial stiffness.

Hydrodynamic bearings use viscous drag (often enhanced with shallow spiral grooves) to generate a pressure gradient in the bearing which increases toward the rotor center. This pressurized gas film provides the bearing load capacity and stiffness.

Figure 1. SEM image of a hydrodynamic thrust bearing with a superimposed 4.2 mm dia microturbine. Viscous drag associated with the rotation of the turbine generates a radial pressure gradient in the spiral grooves located on the inner thrust bearing structure.

Many hydrodynamic design variants are reported in the macro-scale thrust bearing literature [5,6]. The planar spiral groove bearing appeared attractive in term of fabrication ease. A full profile of the spiral groove bearing was chosen.
to maximize the load capacity and stiffness. Referring to the nomenclature in Figure 2, the load capacity \( L_t \) and drag \( D_t \) of a full flat spiral groove bearing can be expressed in terms of geometrical parameters following Muijderman [7]:

\[
L_t = \frac{3\pi \mu \omega r_2^4}{2h_2} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{4}\right) g_1(\alpha, H, \gamma) C_2(\alpha, H, \gamma, \lambda, \kappa) \\
D_t = \frac{\pi \mu \omega r_2^4}{2h_2} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{4}\right) g_2(\alpha, H, \gamma)
\]

where \( \mu \) is the fluid viscosity, \( \omega \) the angular velocity in rad/s, \( \lambda \) the ratio of the inner radius \( r_1 \) to the outer radius \( r_2 \) as shown in Figure 2, \( \alpha \) the spiral groove angle, \( \gamma \) the ridge width to groove width ratio, \( \kappa \) the number of grooves, \( h_2 \) the bearing film height above the grooves, \( g_1 \) and \( g_2 \) analytical terms from a simplified linear pressure profile in the grooves, and \( C_2 \) a groove-end effect correction factor.

Equations 1 and 2 show that the load capacity and bearing stiffness are dependent on the turbine rotational speed. Using equation (1), we optimized the design for the maximum load capacity \( L_t \) by varying the geometry, under constraint of bearing dynamic stability [8]. Dynamic stability can be considered in terms of a compressibility number \( \Lambda_c \) where

\[
\Lambda_c = \frac{3\mu \omega r_2^2}{p_a h_2^2}
\]

with \( p_a \) as the ambient pressure, such that \( \Lambda_c \) must be less than a critical compressibility number obtained via a small perturbation analysis or a simplified analytical approach for a given geometry.

\[\text{Figure 2. Model of a full planar spiral groove bearing, depicting the geometric parameters.}\]

The resultant design has a ridge depth of 1.0 \( \mu \)m, a groove angle of 16 degrees, and \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \) of 560 and 700 \( \mu \)m, respectively. Figure 3 shows the calculated rotor net load bearing capacity (the gross force each bearing can sustain minus the force applied by the opposing bearing) for a matched pair of opposing hydrodynamic thrust bearings as a function of axial eccentricity and rotational speed (eccentricity is the axial position of the rotor normalized by the total thrust bearing gap). This optimized load capacity ranges from +0.34 to –0.34 N. For this geometry, the analysis predicts a dynamic stability boundary of 820,000 rpm.

Hydrodynamic bearing stiffness increases linearly with rotational speed, reaching \( 6 \times 10^5 \) N/m at 820,000 rpm. In contrast, the stiffness of the hydrostatic bearing is \( 3 \times 10^5 \) N/m and is independent of speed. The drag of the hydrodynamic bearing, equation (2), increases quadratically with speed, reaching 0.05 W at 820,000 rpm, while that of the hydrostatic bearing is 0.03 W. One hydrodynamic thrust bearing accounts for about 10% of the total bearing system drag (journal plus thrust bearings).

\[\text{Figure 3. Optimized net bearing load capacity as a function of axial eccentricity and rotational speed. This is the net rotor load capacity for a matched pair of opposing hydrodynamic thrust bearings.}\]

**FABRICATION DEVELOPMENT**

The fabrication process was modified from that first reported by Lin et al [4]. A five silicon wafer fusion-bonded stack was microfabricated with a series of DRIE and RIE sequences to create the fluid flow channels and the enclosed microturbine and bearings. Only the aft thrust bearing wafer-levels were modified to include the hydrodynamic, hybrid hydrodynamic-hydrostatic and hydrostatic bearing designs.

Comprising of four RIE and two DRIE steps, the first and second RIE etch depths define the hydrodynamic and hydrostatic stiffness respectively and their depth must be closely controlled (to \( \pm 0.1 \) \( \mu \)m). The third RIE etch creates the spiral grooves, while fourth etch produces the relatively large gap, 8 \( \mu \)m between the aft-side of the rotating microturbine and the adjacent stationary surface, to reduce viscous drag losses on the aft side of the rotor. Note that if a solely hydrodynamic bearing wafer was produced, the process flow can be reduced to two thrust bearing RIE steps.

An SEM of a hybrid thrust bearing is presented in Figure 4. The hydrodynamic spiral grooves are shaped according to the optimized design discussed previously. The hydrostatic thrust bearing orifices, positioned inward of the spiral grooves, are placed on a raised platform to provide
sufficient hydrostatic stiffness at startup. To produce the required hydrostatic stiffness, the orifice diameter must be controlled to ± 0.5 µm.

The completed thrust bearing wafers are thermally fusion-bonded with a rotor wafer sandwiched between them, and two additional wafers added on the outside to provide gas piping to the bearings and turbine inlet. The microbearing device wafer is then die-sawed and packaged for testing.

![Figure 4](image.png)

**Figure 4.** A microfabricated hybrid hydrodynamic-hydrostatic thrust bearing. The orifice diameter is 10 µm and the groove depth is 2.2 µm.

**Experimental Description**

The microbearing device was tested in a package developed by Lin et al [4] and Fréchette et al [3]. Pressure taps and mass flow meters are used to measure the fluid flow. An external 100 µm diameter fiberoptic speed sensor measured the rotational speed. For these tests, the journal bearing was operated with an externally supplied flow (i.e. in hydrostatic mode). The journal pressure was varied with rotational speed to provide the stiffness needed for stable operation.

We will first discuss tests of a device with a hybrid aft-side thrust bearing. The device as fabricated had a spiral groove depth of 1.53µm rather than the 1.0 µm design value, lowering the predicted onset of instability speed to 600,000 rpm. The bearing flow rate verses axial position was calibrated by manipulating the forward and aft thrust bearing flows to move a stationary rotor fore and aft. After calibrating the device, a series of tests were conducted in which the forward and aft hydrostatic flows were stabilized first (suspending the rotor) and then the turbine drive air started to spin the rotor. After the rotor speed was stabilized, the aft bearing pressure was vented to ambient so that the load capacity of the aft bearing was dependent only on the hydrodynamic action of the spiral grooves. At speeds below 100,000 rpm, the rotor stopped when the aft hydrostatic bearing air was turned off, showing that the hydrodynamic load capacity at these speeds was less than that required to support the aft rotor forces (the sum of the forward thrust bearing load and loads resulting from the pressure differences across the rotor disk). At rotational speeds above 169,000rpm, the turbine continued to operate when the aft air supply was stopped, demonstrating that at these speeds the hydrodynamic forces alone were sufficient to support the rotor. This particular device operated stably up to 450,000 rpm, at which point the rotor “crashed”.

By varying the forward thrust bearing pressure and monitoring the resultant flow rate at various speeds, we estimated the load capacity of the hybrid bearing. This experimentally derived gross load capacity of the hydrodynamic bearing is compared with predictions in Figure 5. The dotted lines are model predictions at different axial eccentricities. The measured load capacity is shown as data points with a least-squares fit solid line. The dashed line is the capacity predicted by the model at the measured eccentricity (0.27). The measured load capacity is about 50% greater than the predicted value, but both show the same trend with speed. Note that the experimental uncertainties associated with these measurements are relatively large (as shown by the error bars).

A second, purely hydrodynamic device (no orifices in the aft bearing) was tested. Figure 6 shows a speed history with the device reaching 100,000 rpm. (The instabilities at 30,000 to 40,000 rpm reflect the journal bearing natural frequency.) This device reached 233,000rpm before crashing. Post test analysis suggest that the crash may have been due to a mismatch between the forward and aft thrust bearings, as the forward hydrostatic thrust bearing leaked and therefore provided a reduced load capacity.

![Figure 6](image.png)

**Figure 5.** A comparison of experimentally derived and model predicted gross load capacity for a hybrid thrust bearing operated in hydrodynamic mode.
CONCLUSIONS

A self-pressurizing hydrodynamic thrust bearing was designed, fabricated and tested up to speeds of 450,000 rpm on a 4.2 mm diameter MEMS radial inflow turbine. This test device demonstrated the load bearing capability predicted by the macro-scale gas bearing theory in the literature.

Further experimental work is needed to better characterize the operation of these devices at higher speeds, including stability, load capacity, and dissipation. Also, many start-stop cycles are needed to assess the bearing lifetime, which is presumably limited by the tribology of the surface rubbing prior to liftoff.

The design of the devices tested was compromised to fit an existing geometry and fabrication sequence. Given more design freedom, these bearing should be capable of operating at several million rpm. Compared to existing hydrostatic thrust bearings, a hydrodynamic approach offers significantly simplified fabrication and elimination of the need for a source of pressurized gas external to the bearing.
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