



## **Introduction to Accelerators** Lecture 4

### **Basic Properties of Particle Beams**

William A. Barletta Director, United States Particle Accelerator School Dept. of Physics, MIT

## Homework item









#### From the last lecture

#### We computed the B-field from current loop with I = constant



**₩** By the Biot-Savart law we found that on the z-axis

$$\mathbf{B} = \frac{I}{cr^2} R \sin \theta \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\varphi \, \hat{\mathbf{z}} = \frac{2\pi I R^2}{c \left(R^2 + z^2\right)^{3/2}} \, \hat{\mathbf{z}}$$

What happens if we drive the current to have a time variation?





#### **Question to ponder:** What is the field from this situation?





We'll return to this question in the second half of the course

Is this really paradoxical?



# Let's look at Maxwell's equations

 $\nabla \cdot \mu_{o} \vec{H}(\vec{r},t) = \mathbf{0} \qquad \nabla \times \vec{E}(\vec{r},t) = -\frac{\partial \mu_{o} \vec{H}(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t}$  $\nabla \cdot \varepsilon_{o} \vec{E}(\vec{r},t) = \rho(\vec{r},t) \qquad \nabla \times \vec{H}(\vec{r},t) = \vec{J}(\vec{r},t) + \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{o} \vec{E}(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t}$ 

# Take the curl of  $\nabla x E$ 

$$\nabla \times \nabla \times \vec{E}(\vec{r},t) = -\frac{\partial \mu_0 \nabla \times \vec{H}(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial \mu_0 \vec{J}(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{E}(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t^2}$$

₩ Hence

$$\Rightarrow \nabla \times \nabla \times \vec{E}(\vec{r},t) + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{E}(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t^2} = -\frac{\partial \mu_0 \vec{J}(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t}$$

## **The dipole radiation field: note the similarity to the static dipole**









#### Now on to beams

## Beams: particle bunches with directed velocity



- ₭ Ions either missing electrons (+) or with extra electrons (-)
- # Electrons or positrons
- # Plasma ions plus electrons
- **\*** Source techniques depend on type of beam & on application



## **Electron sources - thermionic**







Electrons in a metal obey Fermi statistics

$$\frac{dn(E)}{dE} = A\sqrt{E} \frac{1}{\left[e^{(E-E_F)/kT} + 1\right]}$$

#### **Electrons with enough momentum can** escape the metal



$$p_z^2/2m > E_F + \phi$$

yields

$$J_e = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp_x \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp_y \int_{p_{z,free}}^{\infty} dp_x (2/h^3) f(E) v_z$$

some considerable manipulation yields the Richardson-Dushman equation

$$I \propto AT^2 \exp\left(\frac{-q\phi}{k_B T}\right)$$

$$A = 1202 \ mA/mm^2K^2$$



Brightness of a beam source



\* A figure of merit for the performance of a beam source is the brightness

$$B = \frac{\text{Beam current}}{\text{Beam area 0 Beam Divergence}} = \frac{\text{Emissivity (J)}}{\sqrt{\text{Temperature/mass}}}$$

$$= \frac{J_e}{\left(\sqrt{\frac{kT}{\gamma m_o c^2}}\right)^2} = \frac{J_e \gamma}{\left(\frac{kT}{m_o c^2}\right)}$$

Typically the normalized brightness is quoted for  $\gamma = 1$ 

#### **Other ways to get electrons** over the potential barrier

- ✤ Field emission
  - → Sharp needle enhances electric field





- $\rightarrow$  Photon energy exceeds the work function
- → These sources produce beams with high current densities and low thermal energy
- $\rightarrow$  This is a topic for a separate lecture





Electron beams can also be used to ionize the gas or sputter ions from a solid





#### What properties characterize particle beams?



\* The beam momentum refers to the average value of  $p_z$  of the particles

$$p_{beam} = \langle p_z \rangle$$

\* The beam energy refers to the mean value of

$$E_{beam} = \left[ \left\langle p_z \right\rangle^2 c^2 + m^2 c^4 \right]^{1/2}$$

**∗** For highly relativistic beams pc>>mc<sup>2</sup>, therefore

$$E_{beam} = \langle p_z \rangle c$$

## Measuring beam energy & energy spread



- # Magnetic spectrometer for good resolution,  $\Delta p$  one needs
  - → small sample emittance  $\varepsilon_i$  (parallel particle velocities)
  - $\rightarrow$  a large beamwidth w in the bending magnet
  - → a large angle φ







- ₭ Examples:
  - → Non-intercepting: Wall current monitors, waveguide pick-ups
  - → Intercepting: Collect the charge; let it drain through a current meter
    - Faraday Cup



#### The Faraday cup



Simple collector

Proper Faraday cup

## Bunch dimensions





For uniform charge distributions We may use "hard edge values

For gaussian charge distributions Use rms values  $\sigma_x$ ,  $\sigma_y$ ,  $\sigma_z$ 

We will discuss measurements of bunch size and charge distribution later





We need to measure the particle distribution

### Measuring beam size & distribution



		transverse		longit.			1						
PROPERTY MEASURED	intensity/charge	osition	size/shape	Smittance	Size/shape	Smittance	Q-value + ΔQ	Snergy + $\Delta E$	olarization	Effect on beam			
Secondary emission monitors	•	-	•	•	• •			•		IN	-   x	×	
Wire scanners	<u> </u>	•	•	•							x	<u> </u>	
Wire chambers		•									x	x	
Gas curtain				$\bullet$							x		
Residual-gas profile monitors				•						x			
Scintillator screens											x	x	x
Optical transition radiation											x		
Synchrotron radiation						lacksquare				x			
Scrapers and measurement targets													x
Beamscope													x
Effect on beam: N none - slight, negligible + perturbing D destructive				•	prim indir	ary p ect u	ourpo se	se					

Some other characteristics of beams



# Beams particles have random (thermal)  $\perp$  motion



\* Beams must be confined against thermal expansion during transport





## Beams have internal (self-forces)

- # Space charge forces
  - $\rightarrow$  Like charges repel
  - → Like currents attract
- \* For a long thin beam

$$E_{sp}(V/cm) = \frac{60 \ I_{beam}(A)}{R_{beam}(cm)}$$

$$B_{\theta}(gauss) = \frac{I_{beam}(A)}{5 R_{beam}(cm)}$$

## Net force due to transverse self-fields



#### In vacuum:

Beam's transverse self-force scale as  $1/\gamma^2$ 

- → Space charge repulsion:  $E_{sp,\perp} \sim N_{beam}$
- → Pinch field:  $B_{\theta} \sim I_{beam} \sim v_z N_{beam} \sim v_z E_{sp}$

$$\therefore F_{sp,\perp} = q (E_{sp,\perp} + v_z \times B_{\theta}) \sim (1-v^2) N_{beam} \sim N_{beam} / \gamma^2$$

Beams in collision are *not* in vacuum (beam-beam effects)



At Interaction Point space charge cancels; currents add

==> strong beam-beam focus

--> Luminosity enhancement

--> Strong synchrotron radiation

Consider 250 GeV beams with 1 kA focused to 100 nm

 $B_{peak} \sim 40 Mgauss$ 



## Applications determine the desired beam characteristics

Energy	$E = \gamma mc^2$	MeV to Te V
Energy Spread (rms)	$\sigma = \Delta \mathbf{E}/\mathbf{E},$	~0.1%
Momentum spread	Δγ/γ	
	∆ <b>p/p</b>	
Beam current (peak)		10 – 10 <sup>4</sup> A
Pulse duration (FWHM)	Τ <sub>p</sub>	50 fs - 50 ps
Pulse length	σ <sub>z</sub>	mm - cm
(Standard deviation)		
Charge per pulse	Q <sub>b</sub>	1 nC
# of Particles number	N <sub>b</sub>	
Emittance (rms)	ε	1 $\pi$ mm-mrad / $\gamma$
Normalized emittance	$\varepsilon_n = \gamma \beta \varepsilon$	
Bunches per	M <sub>b</sub>	1- 100
macropul s e		
Pulse repetition rate	f	1 - 10 <sup>7</sup>
Effective bunch rate	f M <sub>b</sub>	1 - 10 <sup>9</sup>

Emittance is a – measure of beam quality





#### What is this thing called beam quality? or How can one describe the dynamics of a bunch of particles?





#### Each of N<sub>b</sub> particles is tracked in ordinary 3-D space



Not too helpful

## **Configuration space:**



 $6N_b$ -dimensional space for  $N_b$  particles; coordinates  $(x_i, p_i)$ ,  $i = 1, ..., N_b$ The bunch is represented by a single point that moves in time



Useful for Hamiltonian dynamics

#### **Configuration space example:** 1 particle in an harmonic potential



We don't care about each of  $10^{10}$  individual particles But seeing both the x &  $p_x$  looks useful

#### **Option 3: Phase space** (gas space in statistical mechanics)



6-dimensional space for  $N_b$  particles The i<sup>th</sup> particle has coordinates  $(x_i, p_i)$ , i = x, y, zThe bunch is represented by  $N_b$  points that move in time



In most cases, the three planes are to very good approximation decoupled ==> One can study the particle evolution independently in each planes:

## Particles Systems & Ensembles



- \* The set of possible states for a system of *N* particles is referred as an *ensemble* in statistical mechanics.
- \* In the statistical approach, particles lose their individuality.
- \* Properties of the whole system are fully represented by particle density functions  $f_{6D}$  and  $f_{2D}$ :

$$f_{6D}(x, p_x, y, p_y, z, p_z) dx dp_x dy dp_y dz dp_z \qquad f_{2D}(x_i, p_i) dx_i dp_i \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$

where

$$\int f_{6D} \, dx \, dp_x \, dy \, dp_y \, dz \, dp_z = N$$

## Longitudinal phase space



- \* In most accelerators the phase space planes are only weakly coupled.
  - $\rightarrow$  Treat the longitudinal plane independently from the transverse one
  - → Effects of weak coupling can be treated as a perturbation of the uncoupled solution
- \* In the longitudinal plane, electric fields accelerate the particles
  - → Use *energy* as longitudinal variable together with its canonical conjugate *time*
- \* Frequently, we use *relative energy variation*  $\delta$  & *relative time*  $\tau$  with respect to a reference particle

$$\delta = \frac{E - E_0}{E_0} \qquad \tau = t - t_0$$

\* According to Liouville, in the presence of Hamiltonian forces, the area occupied by the beam in the longitudinal phase space is conserved

## Transverse phase space



\* For transverse planes  $\{x, p_x\}$  and  $\{y, p_y\}$ , use a modified phase space where the momentum components are replaced by:

$$p_{xi} \rightarrow x' = \frac{dx}{ds}$$
  $p_{yi} \rightarrow y' =$ 

where s is the direction of motion

<sup>∗</sup> We can relate the old and new variables (for  $Bz \neq 0$ ) by

$$p_i = \gamma m_0 \frac{dx_i}{dt} = \gamma m_0 v_s \frac{dx_i}{ds} = \gamma \beta m_0 c x'_i \qquad i = x, y$$

where 
$$\beta = \frac{v_s}{c}$$
 and  $\gamma = (1 - \beta^2)^{-1/2}$ 

Note:  $x_i$  and  $p_i$  are canonical conjugate variables while x and  $x_i$ ' are not, unless there is no acceleration ( $\gamma$  and  $\beta$  constant)



#### Look again at our ensemble of harmonic oscillators





#### Particles stay on their energy contour.

Again the phase area of the ensemble is conserved

#### **Emittance describes the area in phase space of the ensemble of beam particles**



Emittance - Phase space volume of beam



### Twiss representation of the emittance



X

x'

- \* A beam with arbitrary phase space distribution can be represented by an equivalent ellipse with area equal to the rms emittance divided by  $\pi$ .
- \* The equation for such an ellipse can be written as

$$\frac{\left\langle w'^{2}\right\rangle}{\varepsilon_{w,rms}}w^{2} + \frac{\left\langle w^{2}\right\rangle}{\varepsilon_{w,rms}}w'^{2} - 2\frac{\left\langle ww'\right\rangle}{\varepsilon_{w,rms}}ww' = \varepsilon_{w,rms} \qquad w = x, y$$

\*\* Accelerator physicists often write this equation in terms of the so-called *Twiss Parameters*  $\beta_T$ ,  $\gamma_T$  and  $\alpha_T$ 

$$\beta_{Tw}w'^2 + \gamma_{Tw}w^2 + 2\alpha_{Tw}ww' = \varepsilon_w \qquad w = x, y$$

where

$$\langle w^2 \rangle = \beta_{Tw} \varepsilon_w \qquad \langle w'^2 \rangle = \gamma_{Tw} \varepsilon_w \qquad \langle w w' \rangle = -\alpha_{Tw} \varepsilon_w \qquad w = x, y$$

US Particle Accelerator School

From: Sannibale USPAS lectures

# Force-free expansion of a beam



Notice: The phase space area is conserved

Matrix representation of a drift



℁ From the diagram we can write by inspection

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ x' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & L \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ x'_0 \end{pmatrix} \Longrightarrow \begin{array}{l} x = x_0 + L x'_0 \\ x' = x'_0 \end{array}$$

$$\langle x^2 \rangle = \left\langle \left( x_0 + L x_0' \right)^2 \right\rangle = \left\langle x_0^2 \right\rangle + L^2 \left\langle x_0'^2 \right\rangle + 2L \left\langle x_0 x_0' \right\rangle$$
$$\Rightarrow \qquad \left\langle x'^2 \right\rangle = \left\langle x'^2 \right\rangle \\ \left\langle xx' \right\rangle = \left\langle \left( x_0 + L x_0' \right) x_0' \right\rangle = L \left\langle x_0'^2 \right\rangle + \left\langle x_0 x_0' \right\rangle$$

# Now write these last equations in terms of  $\beta_T$ ,  $\gamma_T$  and  $\alpha_T$ 

#### **Recalling the definition of the Twiss** parameters



$$\beta_{T}\varepsilon = \beta_{T0}\varepsilon + L^{2}\gamma_{T0}\varepsilon - 2L\alpha_{T0}\varepsilon$$
$$\gamma_{T}\varepsilon = \gamma_{T0}\varepsilon$$
$$-\alpha_{T}\varepsilon = L\gamma_{T0}\varepsilon - \alpha_{T0}\varepsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \beta_T \\ \gamma_T \\ \alpha_T \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & L^2 & -2L \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -L & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{T0} \\ \gamma_{T0} \\ \alpha_{T0} \end{pmatrix}$$







**For your notes, as shown in many books:** 









## This emittance is the phase space area occupied by the system of particles, divided by $\pi$

#### The rms emittance is a measure of the mean nondirected (thermal) energy of the beam

#### Why is emittance an important concept





 $Z = \lambda/8$ 

 $Z = \lambda/12$ 

 $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{0}$ 

X'

 $Z = \lambda/4$ 

1) Liouville: Under conservative forces phase space evolves like an incompressible fluid ==>

2) Under linear forces macroscopic (such as focusing magnets) &  $\gamma$  =constant emittance is an invariant of motion



Χ

## **Emittance conservation with** $B_z$



- \* An axial  $B_z$  field, (e.g., solenoidal lenses) couples transverse planes
  - → The 2-D Phase space area occupied by the system in each transverse plane is no longer conserved y



- \*\* In a frame rotating around the *z* axis by the *Larmor frequency*  $\omega_L = qB_z/2g m_0$ , the transverse planes decouple
  - $\rightarrow$  The phase space area in each of the planes is conserved again

### Emittance during acceleration



\* When the beam is accelerated,  $\beta \& \gamma$  change

- $\rightarrow$  x and x' are no longer canonical
- → Liouville theorem does not apply & emittance is not invariant







$$y'_{0} = \tan \theta_{0} = \frac{p_{y0}}{p_{z0}} = \frac{p_{y0}}{\beta_{0} \gamma_{0} m_{0} c} \qquad y' = \tan \theta = \frac{p_{y}}{p_{z}} = \frac{p_{y0}}{\beta \gamma m_{0} c} \qquad \frac{y'}{y'_{0}} = \frac{\beta_{0} \gamma_{0}}{\beta \gamma}$$
  
In this case  $\frac{\varepsilon_{y}}{\varepsilon_{y0}} = \frac{y'}{y'_{0}} \qquad = > \qquad \beta \gamma \varepsilon_{y} = \beta_{0} \gamma_{0} \varepsilon_{y0}$ 

- \* Therefore, the quantity  $\beta \gamma \epsilon$  is invariant during acceleration.
- \* Define a conserved normalized emittance

$$\varepsilon_{n\,i} = \beta \gamma \varepsilon_i \qquad i = x, y$$

Acceleration couples the longitudinal plane with the transverse planes The 6D emittance is still conserved but the transverse ones are not

US Particle Accelerator School

From: Sannibale USPAS lectures

#### Nonlinear space-charge fields filament phase space



Consider a cold beam with a Gaussian charge distribution entering a dense plasma

At the beam head the plasma shorts out the  $E_r$  leaving only the azimuthal B-field

The beam begins to pinch trying to find an equilibrium radius





×







## **Example 2:** Filamentation of **longitudinal phase space**





Data from CERN PS

The emittance according to Liouville is still conserved

Macroscopic (rms) emittance is not conserved

#### **Non-conservative forces (scattering) increases emittance**











#### Is there any way to decrease the emittance?

#### This means taking away mean transverse momentum, but keeping mean longitudinal momentum

We'll leave the details for later in the course.



$$\varepsilon^2 = R^2 (V^2 - (R')^2)/c^2$$

- # RMS emittance
  - → Determine rms values of velocity & spatial distribution
- # Ideally determine distribution functions & compute rms values
- \* Destructive and non-destructive diagnostics

## **Example of pepperpot diagnostic**







- # Size of image ==> R
- ℁ Spread in overall image ==> R´
- ℁ Spread in beamlets ==> V
- # Intensity of beamlets ==> current density

## Wire scanning to measure R and ε





- Measure x-ray signal from beam scattering from thin tungsten wires
- Requires at least 3 measurements along the beamline







## Matching beams & accelerators to the task

## What are the design constraints?



- ₭ Beam particle
- ℁ Beam format
- **₩** Type of accelerator
- \* Machine parameters

#### **Accelerator designer needs figures of merit to compare machine alternatives**



- ✤ Physics based
  - → Colliders
    - Energy reach, Collision rate (Luminosity), Energy resolution
  - → Light sources
    - Spectral range, Spectral brilliance
- ₭ Economics based
  - → Total cost, \$/Watt, €/Joule, operating cost
  - → Lifetime, Reliability, Availability
- ⋇ Facility based
  - → Size, Weight, power consumption
    - ==> Accelerating gradient, efficiency
- ₭ Technology based
  - → Technical risk, expansion potential





irtici e Accel erator Schoo

#### High Energy Physics Figure of Merit 2: Number of events



*Events* = *Cross* - *section* × (*Collision Rate*) × *Time* 

Beam energy: sets scale of physics accessible



We want large charge/bunch, high collision frequency & small spot size

#### **Example from high energy physics: Discovery space for future accelerators**





#### **FOM 1 from condensed matter studies: Light source brilliance v. photon energy**



#### **FOM 2 from condensed matter studies: Ultra-fast light sources**





#### Primary, secondary, & tertiary design constraints

	the off			X	1) CY					
	Particle	Energy	Current	Quality	4E/E	2 <sup>1</sup> /S <sup>0</sup> /S <sup>1</sup>	Pulse ler	Micro-by	Poldrizo	
HEP. NP	p, i, e	1	2	2	1	2	2	1	1/3	
Light sources	е	2	1	1	1	2	3	1	_	
FELs	е	2	1	1	1	2	1	3	_	
Spallation sources	p, i	3	1	2	1	2	2	3	_	
Radiography	e, p	2	1	1	2	3	1	2	_	
Therapy	e, p	1	1	2	2	3	3	3	_	
Cargo screening	i	2	1	3	3	2	3	3	_	